Littleton Burton Clerk, John) William Van Gheyn

Henry Lord Bishop of Lon-Charlewood, Tho. Speeres and APPELLANTS. don, and Michael Hutch- RESPONDENTS. inson Clerk.

## The Respondents Case.

HE Town of Hamersmith is within and part of the Parish of Fulbam, in the County of Middlesex, and within the Diocels of London; and the Parish of Fulham is a Rectory of which the Bishop of London is

Patron, and to this Rectory there is a Vicaridge Appendent that is endowed.

The Inhabitants of Hamersmith petitioned Dr. Land, then Bishop of London, for Leave at their own 5 Dec. 1629. Charge to erect a Chapel of Ease in Hamersmith, and that they might recommend a Curate there for his Lordship's Approbation: Whereupon the Bishop then directed them to apply to Dr. Cluet, then Vicar of Fulham, for his Confent; which the Inhabitants did, and a Writing was made between the said Vicar and the Inhabitants of Hamersmith, whereby it was agreed, That all the Vicar's Rights should be preserved as amply as if such Chapel had not been erected; and that the said Inhabitants should at their own Costs find and maintain the Curate, and that the said Vicar and his Successors should be discharged from finding and maintaining the said Curate, but no certain Maintenance

is thereby provided for the laid Curate.

After which, Applications were again made to the said Lord Bishop, for his Consent, and the said Agreement between the said Vicar and the Inhabitants, was laid before his Lordship; who to encourage the erecting of such Chapel, gave nine Rod and three Feet of Land, from North to South, and three Rod from East to West (out of his Mannor of Fulbam) which is the East part of the said Chapel-Yard, and upon which the Chancel, and part of the Body of the Chapel was erected. That the Lord Bishop, altho' requested, refused to allow of a Recommendation of a Curate, by any of the Inhabitants (much less of a popular Nomination of such Curate) but expresly reserved that to himself, and his Successors Bishops of London, insisting that a certain Maintenance for such Curate should be established. The same, after several Transactions between the Inhabitants and his Lordship, was agreed to, and the Chapel being finished, was at the Petition of the said Inhabitants consecrated; and in the Instrument of Corsecration, the Right of naming the Curate is expresly reserved to the Bishop of London and his Successors, and 301. June 7. 1631. per An. Stipend provided for the Curate, and the Vicar of Fulham's Rights preserved. To which Instrument the

same Inhabitants (who before signed the Articles with the Vicar) are Witnesses, and no more.

That pursuant to what had been acted, the same Lord Bishop nominated James Dent Curate of the said Chapel, July 13. 1631. and he was accordingly admitted thereunto, and continued Curate there till 1647, when he dyed; and then the

Troubles in Church and State being great, nothing was farther done until 1662: And then

John Wade was Named Curate, and Licensed by the then Bishop of London to preach there, where he continued Mr. Wade made till November 1707, and then he dyed; on whose Death the present Bishop of London nominated the Respondent Hutchinson to be Curate of the said Chapel, on whom the Inhabitants, in full Vestry (of which due Notice was gi-Mr. Wade dyedven) settled the Benefit of the Pews of the said Chapel, in the same manner as they were on the said Mr. Wade. in November

The Respondent Hutchinson, with the Consent of the Inhabitants, at the Expence of 141 l. erected a Gallery in the Chapel; which Money the then Church-Warden and others, Inhabitants of Hamersmith, under their Hands and Mr. Hutchinson Seals, covenanted to reimburse the said Respondent Hutchinson, in case of his Death or Removal. Who having this nominated Cu- Encouragement, treated with the Appellant Mr. Burton to allow him 50 l. per Annum as an Assistant, and as such permitted him to officiate: And all things rested quietly 'till the fourth of November 1708. when some of the meaner fort of the Inhabitants, in a disorderly manner, pretended then to chuse the said Mr. Burton to be Curate of the said

Chapel, which has fince occasioned very great Disturbances and Riots in the said Hamlet.

The Appellant Burton and others exhibited an Information in the Name of the Attorney General, at their Relation, in the Court of Exchequer, against the Respondents, to establish the pretended Right of the Inhabitants to nominate a Curate to the said Chapel of Hamersmith; which Cause was heard, and the Bill dismissed; and from that Dismission the now Appellant appeal'd to the House of Peers, who affirmed the Decree of Dismission of the Court of Exchequer: And then 'twas apprehended all Matters wou'd have been quiet; but pending the said Appeal, the said Mr. Burton, his Accomplices having Possession of the Chapel, and making use thereof in a profane and riotous manner, the House of Lords ordered the Sheriff of Middlesex to raise his Posse Comitatus, and the Serjeant at Arms attending the House, to deliver Possession of the said Chapel to the Respondent Hutchinson, and by means thereof he was restored.

Notwithstanding which, the Appellant Burton and his Accomplices, in a clandestine manner, got into Possession of the laid Chapel, and continued in it in a riotous and tumultuous manner, and kept the Respondent Hutchinson out of Possession thereof by Force and Violence, and hath ever since set a Guard upon, and in the said Chapel, and still detain and keep him out by Force. Whereupon the said Bishop of London, and the Respondent Hutchinson exhibited their Bill in the Court of Chancery, against the now Appellant, to establish his Lordship's Right of nominating of a Curate of the laid Chapel, and for quieting the Respondent Hutchinson in the Possession of the said Curacy, and that the Defendants, and now Appellants, might account for the Profits by them received on the Account of the Curacy of the said Chapel; and that Cause being ready for a Hearing, was on the seventh of May Instant heard; and the Lord Keeper declared that the Bishop of London had a Right to the Nomination of a Curate to the said Chapel, and that the same ought to be establish'd to him and his Successors, and the Respondent Hutchinson quieted in the Possession of the faid Curacy, and did decree it accordingly.

The Premisses consider'd, it's humbly hop'd that the Decree shall be affirmed, and the Appeal be dismissed with ex-

emplary Costs. Note, The Gentlemen that signed the now Appeal, were not the Appellant's Counsel in Chancery, and it's apprehended that those that were the Appellant's Counsel in Chancery refus'd it.

EDW. NORTHEY. HENRY Box.

Easter Term

rate.

Consecration.